

Proof of a New Circle Method of Goldbach's Conjecture

Sheng Yao*

Xuelang neighborhood Guiyu Yunjian community 6-1702, China Lakeshore zone, WuXi 214000, Jiang Su, China

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Copyright: © 2025 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract: In this paper, a novel circle method is introduced which, compared to previous approaches, eliminates the need to explicitly estimate the prime-variable triangle sum on the residual interval [1]. By employing the Fourier series to express the summation formula, we estimate the triangle sum on the residual interval. At the same time, the concept of the intersection set is introduced. Using this concept, we recalculate the estimated values on both the main and residual intervals, thereby forming a new circle method. This new approach focuses on proving that the main value of the solution count is equivalent to its value on the main interval.

Keywords: New circle method; Exception module; Real zero distribution; Asymptotic formula of solution number

Online publication: March 26, 2025

Let N be a sufficiently large even number, N_3, P, M_1, a_1, b_2 be positive integer, m_{01} be natural number. Assume that the following basic relationship holds

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 > 3b_2, b_2 \geq 3, \tau_0 = e^{\frac{6}{5}(\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}, \tau_1 = \log^{a_1} N, D_0 = 2[\tau_0 \tau_1], \\ P = \sum_{m=0}^{M_0} P_m, N_3 < P_0 \leq 2N_3, (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j+2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j+2}} \right) \\ N_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{(\tau_0 \tau_1)^2} \right\rfloor, M_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\log \left(\log \frac{N_3}{(D_0)^6} \right)}{\log 2} \right\rceil, M_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1}{\log^{b_2} N} \right\rfloor, M_1 < m_{01} \leq 2M_1 \\ E(dP) = \frac{1}{dPD_0}, 1 \leq d \leq D_0 \end{aligned}$$

(1)

For each value $y \in \left[-\frac{1}{\tau}, 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right)$ could write as

$$y = \frac{a}{q} + z, (a, q) = 1, 1 \leq q \leq \tau, |z| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}$$

Where

$$\tau = \frac{N}{(\tau_0 \tau_1)^{2.8}}$$

Definite $I_1(\tau_0)$ be the main interval, if $y \in I_1(\tau_0)$, then

$$y = \frac{a}{q} + z, 0 \leq a < q, (a, q) = 1, 1 \leq q < Q_1, |z| \leq \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}$$

Where

$$Q_1 = e(D_0)^6, \quad q(\tau_0 \tau_1) = \begin{cases} q, & q < \tau_0 \tau_1 \\ \tau_0 \tau_1, & q \geq \tau_0 \tau_1 \end{cases}$$

The remaining interval interval is

$$I_2(\tau_0) = \left[-\frac{1}{\tau}, 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right] \setminus I_1(\tau_0)$$

For each value α in the interval, the prime variable function can be expressed as:

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{p=N_2}^N e^{2i\pi \alpha p}$$

Where

$$N_2 = \frac{N}{\log^{2b_2} N}$$

Prime variable equation $p_1 + p_2 = N$ decompose on the $I_1(\tau_0)$. Formula (3)~(12) proof can be see chapter 11 of reference 4. We have

$$DI(N) = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} S^2(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^6 D_{1j}(N) \quad (2)$$

where

$$D_{1,1}(N) = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\phi^2(q)} C_q(-N) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} T^2(z) e(-Nz) dz, \\ T(z) = \sum_{n=N_2}^N \frac{e^{2\pi i n z}}{\log n} \quad (3)$$

$$D_{1,2}(N) = 2 \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi^2(q)} \sum_{\chi_q} \tau\left(\chi\right) \tau\left(\chi'\right) G_{\chi}(-N) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} T(z) W(z, \chi) e(-Nz) dz, \\ W(z, \chi) = \sum_{p=N_2}^N \chi(p) e^{2\pi i p z} \quad (4)$$

$$D_{1,3}(N) = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{1}{\phi^2(q)} \sum_{\chi_q} \sum_{\chi'_q} \tau\left(\chi\right) \tau\left(\chi'\right) G_{\chi \chi'}(-N) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} W(z, \chi) W(z, \chi') e(-Nz) dz \quad (5)$$

$$D_{1,4}(N) = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{C_q(-N)}{\phi^2(q)} \tau^2\left(\chi_q^0 \chi\right) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \left(\tilde{T}(z)\right)^2 e(-Nz) dz, \\ \tilde{T}(z) = \sum_{n=N_2}^N n^{\beta_0-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i n z}}{\log n} \quad (6)$$

$$D_{15}(N) = 2 \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q_1 \\ q_0 | q}} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi^2(q)} \sum_{\chi_q} \tau \left(\chi_q^0 \tilde{\chi} \right) G_{\chi_q^0 \tilde{\chi}}(-N) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} T(z) \tilde{T}(z) e(-Nz) dz \quad (7)$$

$$D_{16}(N) = 2 \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q_1 \\ q_0 | q}} \frac{\tau(\chi_q^0 \tilde{\chi})}{\phi^2(q)} \sum_{\chi_q} G_{\chi_q \tilde{\chi}}(-N) \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \tilde{T}(z) W(z, \chi) e(-Nz) dz \quad (8)$$

Estimation of $D_{1j}(N)$, $j = 2, 3, 6$

$$|D_{1j}(N)| \leq 64 \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log N} \frac{N}{\phi(N)} W + O\left(\frac{N}{(Q_1)^{10}}\right) \quad J = 2, 6 \quad (9)$$

$$|D_{13}(N)| \leq 64 \frac{1}{\log N} \frac{N}{\phi(N)} W^2 + O\left(\frac{N}{(Q_1)^{10}}\right) \quad (10)$$

$$W = \sum_{d \leq Q_1} \sum_{\chi_d} \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \left| \sum_{n=N_2}^N \sum_{|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \leq Q_1^{20}} n^{\rho-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i n z}}{\log n} \right|^2 dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll \frac{\sqrt{N}}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log Q_1}}} \quad (11)$$

Formula proof can be see reference 4, chapter 4 theorem 2 and chapter 10 lemma 11 take $T = (Q_1)^{20}$ and c_9 be a constant in the lemma 11 and let $c_{10} = \vartheta c_9$, $0.97 \leq \vartheta \leq 0.99$. In this paper, mathematical symbols inherit this literature..

It can be obtained by formula (6)

$$D_{1,4}(N) = \tilde{\chi}(-1) \frac{q_0 C_{q_0}(-N)}{\phi^2(q_0)} \sum_{\substack{k \leq \frac{N}{q_0} \\ q_0 | k}} \tilde{\chi}^2(k) \frac{\mu^2(k) C_k(-N)}{\phi^2(k)} \int_{-\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-Nz) dz \quad (12)$$

Lemma 1.

Let $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}$, $(a, q) = 1$, $q \geq 1$, $|\theta| \leq 1$, then for arbitrarily β , $U > 0$, and integer $N_1 \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \min \left(U, \frac{1}{\langle \alpha n + \beta \rangle} \right) \leq 6 \left(\frac{N_1}{q} + 1 \right) (U + q \log q)$$

Proof see chapter 5 lemma 6 of reference 3.

Lemma.2 Let $\tau \geq 1$, α be a real number, P be a positive integer, $P_1 | P$. Then exist coprime integers a and qP_1 , $1 \leq q \leq \tau$, makes

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{qP_1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qP\tau}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, consider $\{\alpha Pm\}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, [\tau]$.

You must find two integers $m_1 > m_2$, makes

$$(\{\alpha Pm_1\} - \{\alpha Pm_2\}) \leq \frac{1}{\tau}, \text{ namely } |\alpha q_1 - b_1| \leq \frac{1}{\tau},$$

where $0 < (m_1 - m_2)P = q_1 \leq \tau P$, $b_1 = [\alpha P m_1] - [\alpha P m_2]$. This is the conclusion of exiting lemma.

According to equation (2), it can be assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(N) &= \int_0^1 S^2(\alpha) e^{-2i\pi\alpha N} d\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad J_0(N, I_1(\tau_0)) = D1(N) = \int_{I_1(\tau_0)}^1 S^2(\alpha) e^{-2i\pi\alpha N} d\alpha \\ J_0(N, m_{01}dP) &= \int_0^1 S^2(\alpha) e^{-2i\pi\alpha(N-m_{01}dP)} d\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Theorem 1(New Circle Method).

Given the parameters same as above (1)~(13). then

$$J_0(N) = J_0(N, I_1(\tau_0)) + O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{\tau_0 \tau_1}}\right)$$

In order to prove the theorem 1, we need to proof lemma 3.

Define the following related functions

$$\begin{aligned} Pl(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2n\pi x)}{n\pi}, \quad \frac{1}{2} + [x] - x = Pl(x), \quad x \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \quad \text{Euler function} \\ F(P, m_{01}, N) &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \sum_{u=0}^{dP-1} \int_{-E(dP)}^{E(dP)} S^2\left(\frac{u}{dP} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(\frac{u}{dP} + z\right)(N-m_{01}dP)} dz \\ F(Pl(Py), m_{01}, N) &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_0^1 \{Pl(dPy - dPE(dP)) - Pl(dPy + dPE(dP))\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and following sets

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(dP) &\equiv \frac{x}{dP} + z, \quad x = 0, \dots, dP-1, \quad -E(dP) \leq z \leq E(dP) \\ U(P) &\equiv \bigcup_{d=1}^{D_0} [-E(dP), 1 - E(dP)] \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2,

$$\sum_{d=1}^{D_0} I_3(dP) \supseteq U$$

Quote the following symbols

$$S_1 \oplus = \sum_{m_{01}=M_1+1}^{2M_1} \sum_{N_3 < P_0 \leq 2N_3} \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} \sum_{(N_3)_{2^m}^1 \left((D_0)_{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2 \left((N_3)_{2^m}^1 \left((D_0)_{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right)} \frac{1}{N_3 \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} (N_3)_{2^m}^1 \left((D_0)_{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) M_1},$$

Thus

$$S_1 \oplus F(P, m_{01}, N) = S_1 \oplus F11(((d_P P)_y, m_{01}, N) U(P)) + S_1 \oplus F2((P, m_{01}, N))$$

Where

$$F11(((d_P P)_y, m_{01}, N) U(P)) = \int_{U(P)} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}(d_P P)_y)y} dy, \quad y = \frac{x}{dP} + z, \quad |z| \leq \frac{1}{dPD_0}, \quad 0 < d \leq D_0$$

$F2((P, m_{01}, N))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\{ \sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{d=\frac{D_0+1}{2}}^{D_0} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \sum_{t=0}^{P-1} \left\{ \int_{E(dP)-DIS(j,d)}^{E(dP)} S^2\left(\frac{a(d,j)}{dP} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(\frac{a(d,j)}{d} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right)(N-m_{01}dP)} dz + \int_{-E((D_0-d+j)P)}^{E((D_0-d+j)P)-DIS(j,d)} S^2\left(\frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)P} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(\frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right)(N-m_{01}(D_0-d+j)P)} dz \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ \int_{-E(dP)}^{-(E(dP)-DIS(j,d))} S^2\left(-\frac{a(d,j)}{dP} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(-\frac{a(d,j)}{d} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right)(N-m_{01}dP)} dz + \int_{(E((D_0-d+j)P)-DIS(j,d))}^{E((D_0-d+j)P)} S^2\left(-\frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)P} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(-\frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} + \frac{t}{P} + z\right)(N-m_{01}(D_0-d+j)P)} dz \right\} \right\} \\ &\quad , \quad a(d,j)(D_0-d+j) - b(d,j)d = -1, \quad a(d,j) < d, \quad b(d,j) < (D_0-d+j), \\ &\quad DIS(j,d) = \frac{j}{2d(D_0-d+j)D_0P} \end{aligned}$$

(15)

Correspondingly ,there are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F2((Pl(Py), m_{01}, N)) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{D_0} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \right) \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\{ Pl \left(Py - \frac{a(d,j)}{d} - PE(dP) \right) - Pl \left(Py - \frac{a(d,j)}{d} - P(E(dP) - DIS(j,d)) \right) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \right. \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \left\{ Pl \left(Py - \frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} + P(E((D_0-d+j)P) - DIS(j,d)) \right) - Pl \left(Py - \frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} + PE((D_0-d+j)P) \right) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}(D_0-d+j)P)y} dy \Big\} \\
 &+ \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{D_0} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \right) \left\{ \int_0^1 \left\{ Pl \left(Py + \frac{a(d,j)}{d} + P(E(dP) - DIS(j,d)) \right) - Pl \left(Py + \frac{a(d,j)}{d} + PE(dP) \right) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \right. \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \left\{ Pl \left(Py + \frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} - PE((D_0-d+j)P) \right) - Pl \left(Py + \frac{b(d,j)}{(D_0-d+j)} - P(E((D_0-d+j)P) - DIS(j,d)) \right) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}(D_0-d+j)P)y} dy \Big\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

then we have

Lemma 3. Given the parameters in (1),(14),(15),(16) .Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 \oplus C_0(\tau_0) &= S_1 \oplus \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \frac{e^{2im_{01}dPE(dP)} - e^{-2im_{01}dPE(dP)}}{2im_{01}} \\
 S_1 \oplus C_1(\tau_0) &= 2 \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (d, (D_0-d+j))=1}}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{D_0} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \right) \frac{j}{d(D_0-d+j)D_0} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{b_2} N}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_1 \oplus (C_0(\tau_0) - C_1(\tau_0))(J_0(N) - J_0(N, I_1(\tau_0))) \\
 &= S_1 \oplus F1(((d_P P)_y, m_{01}, N), U(P) \cap I_2(\tau_0)) + O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{\tau_0 \tau_1}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With Euler summation formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F((P, m_{01}, N)) &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \frac{2}{D_0} J(N, m_{01}dP) - \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_{-E(dP)}^{E(dP)} \int_0^1 S^2\left(\frac{t}{dP} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(\frac{t}{dP} + z\right)(N-m_{01}dP)} \Big|_t^{P1(t)} dt dz \\
 &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \frac{2}{D_0} J(N, m_{01}dP) - \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_0^1 \left\{ P1(dPy - dPE(dP)) - P1(dPy + dPE(dP)) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \\
 &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \frac{2}{D_0} J(N, m_{01}dP) - F(P1(Py), m_{01}, N)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

Replace the Euler function with following function

$$P1(x, m_{01}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq m_{01}}}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2n\pi x)}{n} + \frac{e^{2im_{01}x}}{2im_{01}} \right\}$$

in (17),thus could get the coefficient $C_0(\tau_0)$.we have

$$F(P1(Py), m_{01}, N) = -C_0(\tau_0)J_0(N) + F(P1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N)
 \tag{18}$$

Next we change $\int_0^1 \Rightarrow \int_{-\frac{1}{\tau}}^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$ in $S_1 \oplus F(P1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N)$, Write

$$P1(x, m_{01}) = \bar{P1}(x, m_{01}) + \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n > \frac{1}{\tau}}}^{\infty} ()'$$

integration by parts and by Schwarz inequality, $\sum_{n > \tau^{\frac{2}{3}}}^{\infty}$ in (18) is

$$\ll \tau_0 \tau_1 \frac{1}{N_3 \tau^{\frac{2}{3}}} \frac{N^2}{\log N} \ll \sqrt{N}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & F(P1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N) \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1-\frac{1}{\tau}} \left\{ \bar{P}1(dPy - dPE(dP), m_{01}) - \bar{P}1(dPy + dPE(dP), m_{01}) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy + O(\sqrt{N}) \\ &= F\left(\left(\bar{P}1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N\right), I_1(\tau_0)\right) + F\left(\left(\bar{P}1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N\right), I_2(\tau_0)\right) + O(\sqrt{N}) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

On the set $I_2(\tau_0)$, we are listed in the following formula:

$$\frac{1}{M_1 N_3 \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{n=1}^{\tau^{\frac{2}{3}}} \sum_{m_{01}=M_1+1}^{2M_1} \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} \sum_{(N_3)_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2(N_3)_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{N_3 < P_0 \leq 2N_3} \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} e^{-2i\pi(n-m_{01})dP \left(\frac{y}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2} \right)} \frac{\sin(2n\pi dPE(dP))}{2in\pi}, \quad P = \sum_{m=0}^{M_0} P_m$$

If $(n-m_{01})d < (D_0)^4$, sum P_0 , then fixed n sum $(n-m_{01})d$, by lemma 1. this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1 \oplus F\left(\left(\bar{P}1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N\right), I_2(\tau_0)\right) \\ & \ll \frac{(D_0)^6 \log \tau}{N_3 M_1} \left(\left(\frac{(D_0)^4}{q} + 1 \right) q \log q \right) \int_{I_2(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy \\ & \ll \frac{N}{\tau_0 \tau_1} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If $(n-m_{01})d \geq (D_0)^4$, first sum P_0 , then fixed m_{01} , d , sum $(n-m_{01})d$ by lemma 1 (logarithmic divide n into segments $\ll \log \tau$), thus also gives

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1 \oplus F\left(\left(\bar{P}1(Py, m_{01}), m_{01}, N\right), I_2(\tau_0)\right) \\ & \ll \frac{D_0}{N_3} \sum_{j=\left\lfloor \frac{3 \log D_0}{\log 2} \right\rfloor}^{\log \tau} \frac{1}{2^j} \left(\left(\frac{2^{j+1} + 2M_1 |D_0|}{q} + 1 \right) (N_3 + q \log q) \right) \int_{I_2(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy \\ & \ll \frac{N}{\tau_0 \tau_1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

It easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1 \oplus \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_{I_1(\tau_0)} \left\{ \bar{P}1(dPy - dPE(dP), m_{01}) - \bar{P}1(dPy + dPE(dP), m_{01}) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \\ &= S_1 \oplus \sum_{d=1}^{D_0} \int_{I_1(\tau_0)} \left\{ P1(dPy - dPE(dP)) - P1(dPy + dPE(dP)) \right\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}dP)y} dy \\ & \quad + S_1 \oplus C_0(\tau_0) \int_{I_1(\tau_0)} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi N y} dy + O(\sqrt{N}) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We use same method to calculate the function $F2((P, m_{01}, N))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 \oplus F2((P, m_{01}, N)) &= S_1 \oplus C_1(\tau_0)(J_0(N) - J_0(N, I_1(\tau_0))) + \\
 &+ S_1 \oplus \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \right) \left(\frac{j}{d(D_0-d+j)D_0} \right) \{ (J_0(N, dm_{01}P) + J_0(N, (D_0-d+j)m_{01}P)) \} \\
 &- S_1 \oplus F2((Pl(Py), m_{01}, N), I_1(\tau_0)) + O\left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{\tau_0\tau_1}}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We noticed that the functions on the set are all functions with period of 1, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 \oplus F((Pl(Py), m_{01}, N), I_1(\tau_0)) &= S_1 \oplus F((P, m_{01}, N), I_1^*(\tau_0)) + S_1 \oplus \sum_{d=1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \frac{2}{D_0} J_0(N, m_{01}dP, I_1(\tau_0)) \\
 , \quad I_1^*(\tau_0) &= \begin{cases} I_1(\tau_0) & 1 < q < Q_1, y = \frac{a}{q} + z \in I_1(\tau_0) \\ a=0 \text{ or } 1 & q=1 \end{cases} \\
 S_1 \oplus F2((Pl(Py), m_{01}, N), I_1(\tau_0)) &= S_1 \oplus F2((P, m_{01}, N), I_1^*(\tau_0)) \\
 &+ S_1 \oplus \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=2d-D_0}^d \right) \left(\frac{j}{d(D_0-d+j)D_0} \right) \{ (J_0(N, dm_{01}P, I_1(\tau_0)) + J_0(N, (D_0-d+j)m_{01}P, I_1(\tau_0))) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$S_1 \oplus F1((d_P P)_y, m_{01}, N, U(P) \setminus I_2(\tau_0)) + S_1 \oplus F2((P, m_{01}, N), I_1^*(\tau_0)) = S_1 \oplus F((P, m_{01}, N), I_1^*(\tau_0)) \tag{24}$$

It easy to know

$$S_1 \oplus \sum_{d=1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \frac{2}{D_0} J_0(N, m_{01}dP, I_2(\tau_0)) < \frac{N}{M_1}, \quad \text{etc.} \tag{25}$$

By (15)~(25) the lemma 3 thus proved.

Theorem 1 proof. Let

$$y = \frac{a}{q} + z_1 \in I_2(\tau_0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 \oplus &= \frac{1}{N_3 M_1 \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{m_0=1}^{2M_1} \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} \sum_{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{N_3 < P_0 \leq 2N_3} \text{ if } \sqrt{N_3} (D_0)^3 < q \leq \tau, \\
 S_1 \oplus &= \frac{1}{N_3 M_1 \prod_{m=1}^{M_0} (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{m_0=1}^{2M_1} \sum_{N_3 < P_0 \leq 2N_3} \prod_{a \neq m}^{M_0} \sum_{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^a}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_a \leq 2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^a}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \sum_{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)} \\
 &\text{ if } (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{3}{2^j}} \right) < q \leq (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j}} \right) \\
 \text{ If } &(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{3}{2^j}} \right) < q \leq (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j}} \right), \text{ let } q^{(m)} = q \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P^{(m)} = P^{(m)}(P_0, \dots, P_{m-1}, P_{m+1}, \dots, P_{M_0}) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq m}}^{M_0} P_a + P_m, (N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) < P_m \leq 2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{3}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

For by lemma 2, let set $I_2(\tau_0)$ contract with the following sets

$$y = \frac{a}{q^{(m)}} + z_1 \in I_2(\tau_0), \frac{a}{q^{(m)}} + z_1 = \frac{x}{d_{P^{(m)}} P^{(m)}} + z, 1 \leq d_{P^{(m)}} \leq D_0, |z| \leq \frac{1}{d_{P^{(m)}} P^{(m)} D_0} \tag{A}$$

Assume the equation $\frac{ad}{r} P^{(m)} - \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} x = 1$, $r = (d, q^{(m)})$ has solution (P_{0d}, x_0) , the condition of interval intersection (A) is given from the following equation

$$adP^{(m)} - xq^{(m)} = lr, r = (d, q^{(m)}) \quad l \leq \frac{2q}{rD_0},$$

Thus gives

$$P_d^{(m)} = lP_{0d} + t \frac{q^{(m)}}{r}, \frac{\left((N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) + P^{(m)} - P_m - lP_{0d} \right) r}{q} < t \leq \frac{\left(2(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) + P^{(m)} - P_m - lP_{0d} \right) r}{q}, \left(P_{0d}, \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} \right) = 1, 1 \leq d \leq D_0, 1 \leq l \leq \frac{2q^{(m)}}{rD_0}$$

,if $dP_d^{(m)} \equiv d_1P_{d_1}^{(m)} (q^{(m)})$, then $d \left(l_1P_{0d} + t_1 \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} \right) \equiv d_1 \left(l_2P_{0d_1} + t_2 \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} \right) (q^{(m)})$, that is $adl_1P_{0d} \equiv ad_1l_2P_{0d_1} (q^{(m)})$, for

$adP_{0d} \equiv r (q^{(m)})$, $ad_1P_{0d_1} \equiv r_1 (q^{(m)})$, thus gives $rl_1 \equiv r_1l_2 (q^{(m)})$, if $r = r_1$ $l_1 = l_2$, then

$$P_d^{(m)}P_{0d_1} - P_{d_1}^{(m)}P_{0d} = (t_1P_{0d} - t_2P_{0d_1}) \frac{q^{(m)}}{r}$$

For must exist $N_3 < P_{0d}, P_{0d_1} < 3N_3$ satisfy (A), because the number of different prime factor of

P_{0d_1} great than $\sqrt{D_0}$ is less than $\sqrt{\log N}$, for $\left(P_{0d}, \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} \right) = \left(P_{0d_1}, \frac{q^{(m)}}{r} \right) = 1$, thus must exist $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \sqrt{\log N} \rfloor$, $P_{0d}^i = P_{0d} + (t_0 + i) \frac{q^{(m)}}{r}$

makes $(P_{0d}^i, P_{0d_1}) = r_3 \leq \sqrt{D_0}$, thus equation $P_d^{(m)}P_{0d_1} - P_{d_1}^{(m)}P_{0d} = (t_1P_{0d}^i - t_2P_{0d_1}) \frac{q^{(m)}}{r}$, only have

$$< \sqrt{D_0} \left(\frac{r(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)}{q^{(m)}} \right)^2$$

values $P_d^{(m)}, P_{d_1}^{(m)}$. If $r \neq r_1$, $rl_1 = r_1l_2$, then $(l_1, l_2) \geq \frac{\sqrt{q^{(m)}}}{D_0}$, $l_1, l_2 > \sqrt{q^{(m)}}$. Thus by lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1 \oplus F1((d_P P)_y, m_{01}, N, U(P) \cap I_2(\tau_0)) \\ & < \max_{y, m} \frac{1}{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) M_1} \left(\frac{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)}{q^{(m)}} + 1 \right) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq q^{(m)}} \left| \sum_{m_{01} > M_1}^{2M_1} e^{2\pi i m_{01} l y} \right| \int_{I_2(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy + O\left(\frac{N}{D_0}\right) + O\left(\frac{(D_0)^2 N}{\sqrt{Q_1}}\right) + O\left(\frac{M_1 N_3 D_0 N}{Q_1 \tau}\right) \\ & < \max_m \frac{1}{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) M_1} \left(\frac{(N_3)^{\frac{1}{2^m}} \left((D_0)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \right)}{q^{(m)}} + 1 \right) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq q^{(m)}} \min \left(M_1, \frac{1}{\left\langle \frac{a}{q^{(m)}} + \frac{\theta}{q^{(m)} \tau} \right\rangle l} \right) \int_{I_2(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy + O\left(\frac{N}{D_0}\right) \\ & < \frac{N}{M_1} \end{aligned}$$

(26)

Next we calculate the value of the coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1 \oplus (C_0(\tau_0) - C_1(\tau_0)) = 2 - 2 \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^d + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \sum_{j=2-d-D_0}^d \right) \frac{j}{d(D_0 - d + j)D_0} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{b_2} N}\right) \\ & \geq 2 - 2 \sum_{d=2}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{dD_0} (d - (D_0 - d)(\log D_0 - \log((D_0 - d + 1)))) \right) + \sum_{d=\frac{D_0}{2}+1}^{\frac{D_0}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{dD_0} ((D_0 - d) - (D_0 - d)(\log D_0 - \log d)) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{b_2} N}\right) \\ & = 2 - 2 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} (\log 2)^2 \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{b_2} N}\right) \\ & = 1.718 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{b_2} N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(27)

By (26), (27) and lemma 3, theorem 1 thus proved.

Note, the theorem 1 also holds for if let $N = N + 3 - p_i$, $2 < p_i \leq N + 3$ be prime number. The theorem of three prime numbers tells us that $\tau < N$.

In order to prove theorem 2, lemma 4 and lemma 5 is proved first.

Lemma 4. Let

$$Q_i = e^{a_0^i (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}, \quad a_0 = \frac{6}{5}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq M_0, \quad M_0 = \left\lceil (\log a_0)^{-1} \log \left(\frac{2(\log N)^{\frac{5}{12}}}{(\log \log N)} \right) \right\rceil$$

N be a sufficiently large integer, c_1 be a arbitrary positive number, then

$$\sum_{Q_0 < q \leq Q_{M_0}} \left| \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\Phi^2(q)} C_q(-N) \right| \ll \frac{1}{(Q_0)^{\frac{1}{7} - \varepsilon_2}}$$

Where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ is a sufficiently small positive number.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q_i \leq q < Q_{i+1}} \left| \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\Phi^2(q)} C_q(-N) \right| &= \sum_{d|N} \Phi(d) \sum_{\substack{Q_i \leq q < Q_{i+1} \\ (q, N) = d}} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\Phi^2(q)} \\ &\ll (\log \log Q)^2 \sum_{d|N} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\Phi(d)} \sum_{Q_i/d \leq v < Q_{i+1}/d} \frac{1}{v^2} \\ &\ll (Q_i)^{-1} (\log \log Q)^2 \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < Q_{i+1}}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\Phi(d)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu_1(N)$ be the numbers of different prime factors of N , $\nu_1([Q_{i+1}]) = \min \left(\max_{q \leq Q_{i+1}} \nu_1(q), \nu_1(N) \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < Q_{i+1}}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\Phi(d)} &\ll (\log \log N) (1 + C_1^{\nu_1(N)} + \dots + C_{\nu_1([Q_{i+1}])}^{\nu_1(N)}) \ll (\log N) C_{\nu_1([Q_{i+1}])}^{\nu_1(N)}, \\ \nu_1(N) \leq \nu(N) &= \left\lceil \frac{4 \log N}{(\log \log N)} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_1([Q_{i+1}]) \leq \nu([Q_{i+1}]) = \left\lceil \frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} c_1 (\log N)^{\frac{13}{24}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} c_1 (\log N)^{\frac{13}{24}} \right)} \right\rceil \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < Q_{i+1}}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\Phi(d)} &\ll (\log N)^{\left\lceil \frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)} \right\rceil - 1} \left(\frac{4 \log N}{(\log \log N)} \right) \left/ \prod_{j=0}^{\left\lceil \frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)} \right\rceil - 1} \frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. 1 - \frac{j}{\frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)}} \right) \\ &\ll \log N e^{\frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)} \left(\frac{5}{12} (\log \log N) + \log \frac{4}{a_0^{i+1}} \right) - \frac{a_0^{2+2i} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{6}}}{8 \log^2 \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)} \log \log N} \\ &\quad \frac{e^{-\frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)}}}{e^{-\frac{a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\log \left(a_0^{i+1} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}} \right)}}} \ll e^{\frac{5}{7} a_0^{i+1+\varepsilon} (\log N)^{\frac{7}{12}}} = (Q_i)^{6+\varepsilon_1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{Q_0 < q < Q_{M_0}} \left| \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\Phi^2(q)} C_q(-N) \right| \ll \sum_{0 \leq i \leq M_0} \frac{1}{(Q_i)^{\frac{1}{7} - \varepsilon_1}} \ll \frac{1}{(Q_0)^{\frac{1}{7} - \varepsilon_2}}$$

This proves the lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Given the parameters in lemma 3, Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 \oplus FG((Pl(py), m_{01}, N), I_1(\tau_0)) &= S_2 \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \int_{I_1(\tau_0)} \{Pl(Py + PE_1(2P)) - Pl(Py + PE_1(P))\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}P)} dz \\ &= S_2 \oplus FG((P, m_{01}, N), I_1(\tau_0)) + \frac{1}{4} S_2 \oplus J_0(N, m_{01}P, I_1(\tau_0)) \quad , \quad E_1(P) = \frac{1}{2\tau_0 \tau_1 P} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$S_2 \oplus FG((Pl(py), m_{01}, N), I_3(P, \tau_0)) = S_2 \oplus \frac{1}{4} J_0(N, m_{01}, P, I_1(\tau_0)) + S_2 \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \int_{I_3(\tau_0)} s^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}P)y} dy + \Omega D_{1,4}(N, \tau_0) + O\left(\frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log Q_1}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N}{(\tau_0 \tau_1)^{\frac{1}{8}}}\right)$$

Where

$$S_2 \oplus = \sum_{m_{01}=M_1+1}^{2M_1} \sum_{P=N_1+1}^{2N_1} \frac{1}{M_1 N_3} \left| \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\chi}^2(k) \\ \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1 - k}{q_0} \leq \frac{Q_1}{q_0}}} \frac{\mu^2(k) C_k(-N)}{\phi^2(k)} \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-Nz) dz \right|$$

$$\tilde{I}_3(\tau_0) \equiv \frac{a}{q} + z \in I_1(\tau_0), \quad -E_1(P) \leq z \leq -E_1(2P)$$

Proof. Let $I_3(p) \equiv \frac{x}{P} + z, x = 0, \dots, P-1, -E_1(P) \leq z \leq -E_1(2P)$, we analyse the value on the $I_3(P) \cap I_1(\tau_0)$,

If $\frac{a}{q} \neq \frac{x}{P}$ let $I_4(\tau_0) = I_3(\tau_0) \cap I_5$ in which $I_5 \in I_1(\tau_0) : q < \tau_0 \tau_1, |z| \leq \frac{1}{2qP}$. For $\left| \frac{a}{q} - \frac{x}{P} \right| = \frac{|P - qx|}{qP} \geq \frac{1}{2qP} + E_1(P)$, hence

$I_4(\tau_0) = \emptyset$ (null set), let $I_6 = I_1(\tau_0) \setminus I_5$ and $I_{6,A}(\tau_0) \in I_6$ in which $q < \tau_0 \tau_1, \frac{1}{2qP} < |z| \leq \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}$, For here

$y \in I_{6,A}(\tau_0) \cap I_3(\tau_0), y = \frac{h_1}{P_1} + z$, where

$$P_1 \geq \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau} + \frac{1}{2\tau_0 \tau_1 P} \right)^{-1} > \frac{\tau}{Q_1},$$

Sum m_{01} by Abel transform then sum P by Lemma 1,(3)~(12) gives

$$S_1 \oplus FG((Pl(py), m_{01}, N), I_{6,A}(\tau_0))$$

$$\ll \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1}{M_1 N_3} \sum_P \min \left(M_1, \frac{1}{\left\langle \frac{h_1}{P_1} * P \right\rangle} \right) \int_{I_{6,A}(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy$$

$$\ll (\log N)^{b_2+2} \int_{I_{6,A}(\tau_0)} |S^2(y)| dy$$

$$\ll \log^{b_2+2} N \left(\frac{\tau_0 \tau_1 N_3}{\log N} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log(\tau_0 \tau_1)}}} \right)$$

$$\ll \frac{N}{\tau_0} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log(\tau_0 \tau_1)}}}$$
(28)

2). IF $\frac{a}{q} = \frac{x}{P}$, use $\tilde{I}_3(\tau_0)$ to represent this set.

3). $q \nmid P$, define set $I_8(\tau_0) \in I_1(\tau_0), \tau_0 \tau_1 \leq q < Q_1, I_9(P, \tau_0) = I_3(\tau_0) \cap I_8(\tau_0)$. we analysis the value

on it. Let $r = (q, P_0 P)$, then $\frac{a}{q} - \frac{x}{P} = \frac{aP - xq}{qP} = \frac{n_{01}r}{qP}$, this gives the number of intersection interval, namely for

fixed q and P , the numbers of the pairs (a, x) of equation

$$aP - xq = n_{01}r, \quad (n_{01}, q) = 1, \quad n_{01} \leq \frac{I(q, P)}{r},$$

and the condition of interval intersection is given

$$I(q, P) < 2 \left(\frac{qP}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau} + \frac{q}{2\tau_0 \tau_1} \right) \quad (29)$$

For $\left(\frac{q}{r}, \frac{P}{r} \right) = 1$, the equation $\frac{P}{r} y - \frac{q}{r} x = 1$ has solution (y_0, x_0) . Hence the equation $Pa - qx = r, (a, x)$ just

has solution $\left(y_0 + t \frac{q}{r}, x_0 + t \frac{P}{r} \right), t = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, but here

$\left(\frac{q}{r}, r\right)=1$, there exists $t_i, 0 < i \leq \varphi(r)$ makes $\left(y_0 + t_i \frac{q}{r}, r\right)=1$, thus the complete intersecting set could defined as following

$$\frac{a_i^{(1)}}{q} \pm \frac{r}{qP(k,l)} \pm z, \dots, \frac{a_i^{(\varphi(r))}}{q} \pm \frac{r}{qP(k,l)} + z, \dots, \frac{n_{01}a_i^{(r)}}{q} \pm \frac{n_{01}r}{qP(k,l)} + z, \dots, \frac{n_{01}a_i^{(\varphi(r))}}{q} \pm \frac{n_{01}r}{qP(k,l)} + z, \dots, \frac{R(k,l)a_i^{(1)}}{q} \pm \frac{R(k,l)r}{qP(k,l)} + z, \dots, \frac{R(k,l)a_i^{(\varphi(r))}}{q} \pm \frac{R(k,l)r}{qP(k,l)} + z$$

Where

$$1 \leq n_{01} \leq R(k,l) \leq \frac{I(q, P(k,l))}{r}, (n_{01}, q)=1, P(k,l)=kq+rl, l < \frac{q}{r}, \left(l, \frac{q}{r}\right)=1, -E(P) \leq z \leq -E(2P),$$

$P(k,l)a_i' - qx = r \Rightarrow a_i^{(i)}l \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, $\{n_{01}a_i^{(i)}\}, t \leq \varphi(r), l \leq \varphi\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)$ just go through the reduced residual of module q .

(30)

The series expansion will be the following form, note $a_i(i)^*(rl) \equiv r \pmod{q}$,

$$e^{\frac{2\pi m_{01}(kq+rl)}{q} \left(\frac{n_{01}a_i^{(i)}}{q} + z \right)} = e^{\frac{2\pi(m_{01}rl)}{q} \left(\frac{n_{01}a_i^{(i)}}{q} \right)} e^{2\pi m_{01}kqz} (1 + 2\pi i m_{01}rlz + \dots) \\ = e^{\frac{2\pi(m_{01}n_{01}r)}{q}} e^{2\pi m_{01}kqz} + O\left(\frac{M_1 Q_1}{\tau_0 \tau_1 \tau}\right)$$

(31)

Finally by (29)~(31), we have the value on $I_9(P, \tau_0)$

$$S_2 \oplus FG((P, m_{01}, N), I_9(P, \tau_0)) \\ \leq \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1}{N_3 M_1} \sum_{\tau_0 \tau_1 \leq q < Q_1} \sum_{r|q} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{N_3}{q}\right]-1} \sum_{1 \leq n_{01} \leq \frac{I(q, P(k,l))}{r}} \sum_{M_1 < m_{01} \leq 2M_1} e^{\frac{2\pi(m_{01}n_{01}r)}{q} + 2\pi m_{01}(N_3+kq)z} \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1 \tau)}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} \sum_{t \leq \varphi(r)} \sum_{l \leq \varphi\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)} S^2\left(\frac{n_{01}a_i^{(i)}}{q} + z\right) e^{-2\pi\left(\frac{n_{01}a_i^{(i)}}{q} + z\right)N} dz \\ + \frac{M_1 Q_1}{N_3 \tau} \sum_{\tau_0 \tau_1 \leq q < Q_1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{N_3}{q}\right]-1} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq I(q, P(k,l))} d(n) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1 \tau)}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1) \tau}} S^2\left(\frac{a}{q} + z\right) dz$$

(3)~(12) and lemma 4 gives the value

$$S_2 \oplus FG((P, m_{01}, N), I_9(P, \tau_0)) = S_2 \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \int_{I_3(\tau_0)} s^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N-m_{01}P)} dy + \Omega D_{1,4}(N, \tau_0) + O\left(\frac{N}{(\tau_0 \tau_1)^{\frac{1}{7}-\epsilon_2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N \log^2 N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log Q_1}}}\right)$$

(32)

By (28), (32), the proof of lemma 5 is thus completed.

Let q_0 be a exception module (chapter 10 lemma 7 of reference 4)

Theorem 2. (Real Zero Distribution of Exception Module)

Let A_1 be a sufficiently large positive number, $\log^{A_1} N < q_0 \leq Q_1$, $\tilde{\chi}$ be a real primary feature module

q_0, β_0 be a real zero of $L(s, \chi)$, $\chi \pmod{q} \chi \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\chi} \quad q_0 | q$. when N is fully large then

$$\beta_0 \leq \max\left(1 - \frac{\log q_0}{25 \log N}, 1 - \frac{c_{10}}{21 \log Q_1}\right)$$

Where c_{10} be a constant in (11).

proof. Corresponding to lemma 3 and lemma 5, Redefine the following parameters

$$\tau_1 = \min\left((q_0)^{\frac{1}{12}}, e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}\right), \tau_0 = q_0(\tau_1)^8, N_3 = \left[\frac{N}{(Q_1)^3}\right] \\ S_1^* \oplus = \sum_{\substack{M_{01} = m_{01} + \dots + m_{0r} \\ M_1 + 1 < m_{01} \leq 2M_1}} \sum_{N_3 < P \leq 2N_3} \frac{1}{N_3(M_1)^r}, t = \left[\frac{\log N}{\log \log N}\right]$$

Define an N^* related q_0 instead of N , as we know $q_0 = 2^a p_1 \dots p_s$, $a = 0, 2, 3$, we define

$$N^* = 2^{n_{03}} p_1 \dots p_s, \quad n_{03} = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(\frac{N}{p_1 \dots p_s} \right) \right\rceil + 1$$

hence $N^* = 2^g N$, $0 < g \leq 1$ and $q_0 \mid N^*$

Let E_{q_0} be a set, if $y \in E_{q_0}$, then

$$y = \frac{a}{q} + z, 0 \leq a < q, (a, q) = 1, q_0 \leq q < Q_1, q_0 \mid q, |z| \leq \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^\tau}, \tau = 10N_3$$

Consider following equation

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^* \oplus \sum_{w=1}^2 F^*(((Pl(P_y, M_{0t})), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}) \\ = S_1^* \oplus \sum_{w=1}^2 F^*(((Pl(P_y), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}), S_1 \oplus C_0^*(\tau_0) \sum_{q_0 < q < Q_1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^\tau}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^\tau}} S^2\left(\frac{a}{q} + z\right) e^{-2i\pi\left(\frac{a}{q} + z\right)N^*} dz \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(P_y), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}), S_1 \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \int_{E_{q_0}} \{Pl(P_y + PE_1(2P)) - Pl(P_y + PE_1(P))\} S^2(y) e^{-2i\pi(N^* - M_{0t}P)} dz \\ S_1^* \oplus C_0^*(\tau_0) = S_1^* \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \frac{e^{-2i\pi M_{0t} PE_1(2P)} - e^{-2i\pi M_{0t} PE_1(P)}}{2i\pi M_{0t}} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

First let

$$\begin{aligned} Pl(x, M_{0t}) = P3(x, M_{0t}) + \sum_{\substack{n > \tau^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ n < \tau^{\frac{2}{3}}}}^{\infty} () \\ S_1 \oplus F^*(((Pl(P'y, M_{0t}), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0})) \\ = \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1}{N_3 (M_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{M_1 < m_{0t} \leq M_1 + 1 \\ M_{0t} > \sum_{i=1}^n m_{0t}}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \sum_{P=N_3+1}^{2N_3} e^{-2i\pi(n-M_{0t})P\left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}\right)} \frac{\sin(n\pi PE_1(2P)) e^{-3i\pi M_{0t} PE_1(2P)}}{2n\pi} + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \sum_{P=N_3+1}^{2N_3} e^{-2i\pi(n+M_{0t})P\left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}\right)} \frac{\sin(n\pi PE_1(2P)) e^{3i\pi M_{0t} PE_1(2P)}}{2n\pi} \right\} + O(\sqrt{N}) \end{aligned}$$

We calculate first one, sum P , this gives unprotected assume $q \mid (n - M_{0t})$, $n = M_{0t} + kq$, if $n \leq \frac{q}{\tau_1}$ then

$q < M_1 \log N$, by lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(P'y, M_{0t}), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0})) \\ \ll \frac{q}{N_3 M_1 \tau_1} \left(\frac{M_1}{q} + 1 \right) (N_3 + q \log q) \frac{N}{\log N} \\ \ll \frac{N}{(q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

If $n > \frac{q}{\tau_1}$, $n = M_{0t} + kq$, then if $q \mid P$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(P'y, M_{0t}), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0})) \\ \ll \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1}{q} \sum_k \frac{1}{|kq + M_{0t}| \log N} \\ \ll \frac{(\tau_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} N}{q} \ll \frac{N}{(q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

if $q \nmid P$, $q \leq \frac{M_1}{\log N}$, sum M_{0t} , then sum P by lemma 1, we have

$$S_1^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(P'y, M_{0t}), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &<< \frac{\tau_0 \tau_1 \log \tau}{N_3(M_1)^r} \left(\frac{N_3}{q} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{5}{4} q \right)^l \frac{N}{\log N} \\ &<< \sqrt{N} \end{aligned}$$

(36)

Otherwise $q > \frac{M_1}{\log N}$, $n = M_{0t} + kq \geq \frac{q}{\tau_1}$, (3)~(12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(P'y, M_{0t})), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}) \\ &<< S_i^* \oplus \tau_0 \tau_1 \sum_k \frac{1}{|kq + M_{0t}|} \sum_{\substack{M_t \\ \log N \leq q \leq Q_1}} \frac{1}{q_0/q} \left| \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_1 \tau_1)^r}} \sum_{a=1}^q S^2 \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) e^{-2i\pi \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) (N^* - kqPz)} dz \right| \\ &<< \tau_0(\tau_1)^3 \frac{\log N}{M_1} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{M_t \\ \log N \leq q \leq Q_1}} \left| \frac{\mu^2(q) C_q(-N^*)}{\phi^2(q)} \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-N^* z) dz \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{M_t \\ q_0 \log N < k \leq \frac{Q_1}{q_0}}} \left| \tilde{\chi}^2(k) \frac{\mu^2(k) C_k(-N^*)}{\phi^2(k)} \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-N^* z) dz \right| \right\} + O \left(\frac{N \log^2 N}{e^{\frac{7c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau_1 = (q_0)^{\frac{1}{12}}$, for $2^{v_1(N^*)} \leq 2^{v_1(q_0)+1} < (q_0)^{c_1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(Py, M_{0t})), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}) \\ &<< \frac{N}{\sqrt{q_0}} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{7c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \end{aligned}$$

(37)

If $\tau_1 = e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}$, we use the same proof method as lemma 4, could obtained

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^* \oplus F^*(((Pl(Py, M_{0t})), M_{0t}, N^*), E_{q_0}) \\ &<< (\log^{b_2+2} N) e^{\frac{2c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}} \sum_{\substack{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{e^{\frac{1}{10 \log Q_1}}} < k \leq Q_1}} \tilde{\chi}^2(k) \frac{\mu^2(k) C_k(-N^*)}{\phi^2(k)} \left| \int_1^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-N^* z) dz \right| + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_1 \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \\ &<< \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{5 \log Q_1}}} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{7c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \end{aligned}$$

(38)

On the other hand, by lemma 5, first we have

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^* \oplus J_0(N, M_{0t}, P', E_{q_0}) = \frac{1}{N_3(M_1)^r} \sum_{N_3 < P \leq 2N_3} \sum_{\substack{M_{0t} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0t}} m_{0tj} \\ M_1 < m_{0tj} \leq 2M_1}} \sum_{\substack{q_0 < q \leq Q_1 \\ q_0/q = 1}} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_1 \tau_1)^r}} S^2 \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) e^{-2i\pi \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) (N^* - M_{0t}P)} dz \\ &<< \frac{N}{q_0} \end{aligned}$$

(39)

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^* \oplus F^* \left((P, M_{0t}, N^*), \tilde{I}_3(\tau_0) \cap E_{q_0} \right) \\ &= \tau_0 \tau_1 \frac{1}{N_3(M_1)^r} \sum_{N_3 < P \leq 2N_3} \sum_{\substack{M_{0t} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0t}} m_{0tj} \\ M_1 < m_{0tj} \leq 2M_1}} \sum_{\substack{M_{0t} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{0t}} m_{0tj} \\ M_1 < m_{0tj} \leq 2M_1}} \sum_{\substack{q_0 < q \leq Q_1 \\ q_0/q = 1}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_1 \tau_1)^r}} S^2 \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) e^{-2i\pi \left(\frac{a}{q} + z \right) (N^* - M_{0t}P)} dz \\ &<< (\tau_1)^9 \left(\tau_0 \tau_1 N_3 + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{\log Q_1}}} \right) \\ &<< \left(\frac{N}{Q_1} + \frac{N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(40)

Because according to the conditions of the hypothesis set,

$$\frac{a}{q} + z \cap I_3(P) = \Phi, \quad q < \tau_0 \tau_1.$$

Thus by lemma 5,(39),(40),same as (37),(38),we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_1^* \oplus F^*((P_1(P_2), M_{01}, N^*), E_{q_0}) \\ & \ll \log N \left| \sum_{\substack{q_0 \leq q \leq Q_0 \\ q_0 \mid q}} \tilde{\chi}^2(k) \frac{\mu^2(k) C_k(-N^*)}{\phi^2(k)} \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\tilde{T}(z) \right)^2 e(-N^* z) dz \right| + O\left(\frac{N}{q_0}\right) + O\left(\frac{N \log^2 N}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N}{10 \log Q_1}}}\right) \\ & \ll O\left(\frac{N}{(q_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N \log^2 N}{e^{\frac{2c_{10} \log N}{5 \log Q_1}}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Finally by (33)~(41), (3)~(12) could obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{q_0 \leq q \leq Q_0 \\ q_0 \mid q}} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\phi^2(q)} C_q(-N^*) \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\sum_{N_2 \leq n \leq N^*} \frac{e^{2\pi i n z}}{\log n} \right)^2 e^{-2\pi i n z} dz \\ & + \tilde{\chi}(-1) \frac{q_0}{\phi(q_0)} \sum_{\substack{0 < k \leq \frac{Q_0}{q_0} \\ q_0 \mid k}} \tilde{\chi}^2(k) \frac{\mu^2(k)}{\phi^2(k)} C_k(-N^*) \int_{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}}^{\frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} \left(\sum_{N_2 \leq n \leq N^*} \frac{n^{\beta_0-1} e^{2\pi i n z}}{\log n} \right)^2 e^{-2\pi i n z} dz \\ & = O\left(\frac{N^*}{(q_0)^{\frac{1}{12}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^*}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N^*}{10 \log Q_0}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\frac{(N^*)^{2\beta_0-1}}{\log^2 N^*} < \mu^2(q_0) \frac{N^*}{\phi(q_0) \log^2 N^*} + O\left(\frac{N^*}{(q_0)^{\frac{1}{12}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{N^*}{e^{\frac{c_{10} \log N^*}{10 \log Q_1}}}\right)$$

Namely

$$\beta_0 \leq \max\left(1 - \frac{\log q_0}{25 \log N}, 1 - \frac{c_{10}}{21 \log Q_1}\right)$$

Theorem 2 thus proved.

Theorem 3. (Asymptotic Formula of Solution Number)

Let $D(N)$ be the number of solutions of $p_1 + p_2 = N$, where p_1, p_2

be prime numbers, N be a even integer, when N is fully large

$$D(N) = \Theta(N) \frac{N}{\log^2 N} + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{\log^3 N}\right)$$

where

$$\Theta(N) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)}\right).$$

proof. By the Schwarz inequality, $N_2 = \frac{N}{\log^{2b_2} N}$, thus

$$D(N) = J_0(N) + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^{b_2+1} N}\right)$$

Theorem 1 thus gives

$$D(N) = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q_2} \int_{\frac{a}{q}}^{\frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q(\tau_0 \tau_1)^r}} S^2(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha + O\left((\log^{2b_2} N) \Omega D_{1,4}(N, \tau_0)\right) + O\left(\frac{N}{\log^3 N}\right)$$

By lemma 4, $2^{v(N)} \leq 2^{\frac{2 \log N}{\log \log N}}$, (3) ~ (12) easy to show

$$D_{1,1}(N) = \Theta(N) \frac{N}{\log^2 N} + O\left(\frac{N \log \log N}{\log^3 N}\right)$$

In (4)~(12) still need to care $D_{14}(N), D_{15}(N)$, when $q_0 \leq \log^4 N$, with the Siegel's theorem $\beta_0 \leq 1 - \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\log^{\varepsilon_1} N}$, take ε makes $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{10}$. if $\log^4 N < q_0 \leq Q_1$ using theorem 2. thus prove theorem 3.

Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Apostol TM, 1975, Analytic Number Theory Guidance, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg.
- [2] Titchmarsh EC, 1951, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, Oxford.
- [3] Karatsuba AA, 1984, Basic Analytic Number Theory, Springer, New York.
- [4] Pan C, 1984, Goldbach Conjecture, Science Press, China.

Publisher's note

Whioce Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.