

---

# Implementation of Teaching Evaluation in the Context of New Curriculum Standards: Focusing on the “Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation”

Xiangyi Ci\*, Xi Li

Jilin International Studies University, Changchun 130000, Jilin, China

*\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

**Copyright:** © 2025 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

---

**Abstract:** In the context of the new education reform, teaching evaluation has been assigned a more important role, especially with the introduction and practice of the “integration of teaching and evaluation” concept. This paper, in line with the 2022 Compulsory Education Curriculum Standards’ requirements for classroom teaching evaluation, explores the significance of the “teaching and evaluation integration” concept in teaching and its implementation strategies. By reviewing domestic and international research, this paper analyzes the current research status and the emerging issues and proposes suggestions for improvement. Through these improvements, the paper aims to better enhance teaching quality and promote the comprehensive development of students’ core competencies.

**Keywords:** New curriculum standards; Teaching evaluation; Integration of teaching and evaluation

---

**Online publication:** March 26, 2025

## 1. Introduction

With the deepening of educational reform, classroom teaching evaluation plays an increasingly important role in improving education quality and promoting students’ comprehensive development. Especially in the “Compulsory Education Curriculum Standards (2022 Edition),” it is proposed that teachers should establish the awareness of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation,” scientifically select evaluation methods, and reasonably use evaluation tools. This guiding ideology provides a new direction for the innovation and practice of teaching evaluation [1]. As an important part of educational reform, the “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” has become one of the key strategies to promote the improvement of classroom teaching quality.

### **1.1. Research background**

In the traditional educational evaluation system, evaluation is often seen merely as a terminal link in the teaching process, focusing on assessing students' learning outcomes. However, with the promulgation of new curriculum standards and the renewal of educational philosophy, the function of evaluation has shifted from merely quantifying results to supporting and facilitating the entire learning process of students, becoming a dynamic process involving both teachers and students. Especially in classroom teaching, how to use effective evaluation methods to focus on both students' final grades and instant feedback and adjustment in the learning process has become an important topic in the current educational field. The proposal of the "Compulsory Education Curriculum Standards (2022 Edition)" has further strengthened and concretized the concept of "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" in classroom teaching, and its application strategies in teaching have begun to attract more and more attention from educators.

### **1.2. Research purpose**

The purpose of this paper is to explore the existing exploration of the concept of "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" in classroom teaching, analyze the challenges and opportunities faced in current educational practices, and then propose corresponding optimization paths and suggestions to promote the modernization of teaching evaluation methods and the comprehensive development of students' core literacy.

### **1.3. Research significance**

In the context of global educational reform, the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" is not only a powerful guarantee for improving the quality of classroom teaching but also an important means of cultivating students' innovative thinking and autonomous learning abilities. Through the development of this research, we aim to further understand the profound significance of this concept under the new curriculum standards, explore its implementation effect and improvement space in teaching practice, and provide theoretical support and practical guidance for the development of future teaching evaluation.

## **2. Theoretical basis**

### **2.1. Multiple intelligences theory**

In 1983, Howard Gardner proposed the theory of multiple intelligences, which brought revolutionary changes to the understanding of intelligence structure. This theory advocates that the evaluation system should be diversified, emphasizing different evaluation criteria and methods for different individuals. The evaluation language needs to be creative, and the evaluation process should promote individual development, playing an important role in further development.

The core of multiple intelligences theory is that everyone has their unique combination of intelligences. This viewpoint provides profound insights for teaching evaluation. It tells us that evaluating students should not be simply based on intelligence level, but should recognize that each student has unique potential. With appropriate educational support, every student's potential can be unleashed.

When teachers adopt a student perspective based on multiple intelligences theory, they will love and respect students more, thus promoting students' comprehensive growth. Only when teaching evaluation achieves diversification can evaluation more comprehensively reflect students' real situations and better fit the development of students' psychology and learning ability.

## **2.2. Developmental education evaluation theory**

Traditional education evaluation regards screening and selection as the sole purpose of evaluation. However, developmental education evaluation theory is the opposite. Developmental education evaluation theory refers to an evaluation paradigm where teachers use effective evaluation tools and methods to collect students' learning information, judge students' comprehensive ability level, and promote students' overall progress.

Compared with traditional education evaluation, developmental education evaluation has unique advantages. Developmental education evaluation advocates that both teachers and students are masters in the classroom. They can participate in and cooperate in the classroom evaluation process on an equal dialogue basis to improve students' learning level.

## **3. Current research status at home and abroad**

### **3.1. Domestic research status**

In the context of educational reform in China, the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation, as an important concept to improve education quality and promote students' comprehensive development, has received widespread attention and research in recent years. In particular, the "Overall Plan for Deepening Education Evaluation Reform in the New Era" clearly proposes to "fully leverage the guiding role of education evaluation and guide the establishment of scientific educational goals" [2]. This provides clear guidance for the direction of education evaluation reform and emphasizes the promoting role of evaluation in teaching. Domestic scholars have conducted extensive discussions on the implementation path, practical cases, and challenges faced by the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation in theoretical exploration and practical research.

The proposal of the concept of "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" emphasizes the intrinsic connection between teaching, learning, and evaluation, forming a student-centered evaluation system that focuses on process and diversification. In the 1990s, domestic scholars proposed the positive function of evaluation in education, believing that evaluation should be a tool to motivate students to learn and guide their growth, rather than a simple grading tool [3]. Entering the 21st century, research on education evaluation has gradually shifted to pay more attention to the diversification of evaluation subjects, especially emphasizing the combination of process evaluation and quantitative evaluation, and advocating the integration of multiple roles in the evaluation process to more comprehensively promote the development of students' core literacy.

Among them, the key theoretical significance of "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" lies in the coordination between the three. Wei first focused on the coordination of teaching, learning, and evaluation. He proposed that the coordination and consistency of curriculum standards, classroom teaching, and examination evaluation could help promote the improvement of education quality [4]. Cui pointed out that maintaining the inherent coordination of teaching, learning, and evaluation is the core requirement for achieving disciplinary thinking, which can promote effective teaching of the curriculum [5]. In addition, Lu proposed, through an analysis based on Taylor's

curriculum principles, that the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation can effectively promote student learning and improve teacher teaching quality [6].

In recent years, with the continuous deepening of curriculum and teaching reform, scholars have paid more attention to the practical application of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” in specific subjects. Wang and Li proposed in his research that teaching evaluation should help build an effective classroom teaching system, enhance the connection between teaching objectives, learning activities, and evaluation, thereby improving the effectiveness of the classroom [7]. Chen proposed that establishing a scientific evaluation system through diversified goal construction and core literacy cultivation could help promote the implementation of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” [8].

At the practical level, the concept of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” has gradually been applied to the teaching of various subjects, becoming an important path to promote educational innovation. Zhao and Gu explored the application of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” in Chinese classrooms from the concept of “learning field” and proposed a corresponding classroom teaching model to promote the improvement of students’ core literacy [9]. In the study of geography, Liu constructed a framework of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” that can evaluate students’ ability to apply geographical knowledge, providing an effective reference for junior high school geography teaching [10]. In addition, Liu proposed a teaching practice model of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” based on curriculum standards according to chemistry core literacy, emphasizing the theme-oriented unit curriculum design [11].

Although the concept of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” has made some progress in domestic education reform, it still faces many problems in practical application. Xiao and Guan pointed out that the design of evaluation in teaching should have clear directionality and operability to stimulate students’ innovative ability and promote their academic development [12]. Ding believed that the current implementation process is hindered by teachers’ inadequate evaluation literacy and the ambiguity of the evaluation system, making it difficult for the “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” to be implemented in practice, and even leading to the phenomenon of “chaotic evaluation” or “false evaluation” [13].

In addition, Wu and Gao proposed that in actual teaching, teachers often focus too much on understanding the theory of “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” and ignore its practical application, resulting in poor implementation of this concept [14]. Therefore, to ensure the effective implementation of the “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” concept, it is necessary to further improve teachers’ professional development, strengthen the scientific design of evaluation, and promote the deep integration of evaluation concepts.

### 3.2. Current status of foreign research

In foreign countries, the “integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation” is widely applied in various education systems as an innovative educational concept. Especially in student-centered teaching models, evaluation is no longer limited to measuring students’ learning outcomes but has become an important tool to facilitate the learning process.

Firstly, formative evaluation is the core of the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation, emphasizing continuous assessment of students’ learning status during the teaching process. Guskey pointed out that formative evaluation helps teachers obtain timely feedback on students’ learning, enabling flexible adjustments to teaching content and methods and ensuring that students receive appropriate support at each stage of learning. Through classroom tests, question answering, homework corrections, and other means, formative evaluation can timely identify

students' problems in knowledge mastery and thinking development, providing personalized guidance<sup>[15]</sup>. Furthermore, Heritage's research also showed that formative evaluation not only helps teachers understand students' learning status but also enhances students' self-monitoring ability, allowing them to find directions for improving learning strategies based on feedback<sup>[16]</sup>.

The feedback mechanism plays a crucial role in the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation. Hattie and Timperley proposed that feedback should not only inform students about "how they are doing" but also help them understand "why they are doing it" and "how to improve"<sup>[17]</sup>. When feedback is clear and specific, students can better understand learning goals, assess current progress, and take appropriate actions to improve their learning strategies. In recent years, more and more research has emphasized the timeliness and actionability of feedback. Shute pointed out that timely feedback can help students quickly adjust their cognitive models, while specific improvement suggestions can guide students to reflect deeply on their learning<sup>[18]</sup>.

The application of information technology in the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation has also received increasing attention. Van der Kleij's research shows that electronic learning portfolios can help students record and reflect on their learning processes. Teachers can analyze the data in electronic portfolios to provide more targeted teaching and evaluation suggestions to students<sup>[19]</sup>.

Furthermore, the role of peer evaluation and self-evaluation in the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" has also been widely recognized. Topping proposed that peer evaluation, by allowing students to evaluate each other's learning outcomes, can promote students' critical thinking and reflection abilities. Peer evaluation not only enhances students' understanding of learning content but also promotes interaction and cooperation among students. This two-way feedback mechanism helps students improve their cognitive abilities through reflection<sup>[20]</sup>.

The implementation of the integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation requires not only the active participation of teachers but also a greater role for students. Sadler emphasized that students are not only the objects of evaluation but should also be participants in the evaluation process. Through self-evaluation and peer evaluation, students can enhance their sense of control over the learning process and stimulate their intrinsic motivation, thereby improving learning effectiveness. Sadler believes that evaluation should be a collaborative process involving teachers, students, and peers, which not only contributes to learning improvement but also promotes the development of students' socialization skills<sup>[21]</sup>.

## **4. Summary and commentary on domestic and international research**

Regarding research on the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation," there is a consensus in the academic community that teaching, learning, and evaluation should be closely integrated to form a dynamic and interactive process. Theoretically, many scholars emphasize that evaluation should not only be a measurement tool for student learning outcomes but should also be integrated into the entire teaching process, becoming an important means to promote student learning and improve teaching methods. Concepts such as formative assessment, timely feedback, and assessment-driven learning have been widely applied in teaching practice, particularly in coordinating course objectives, teaching methods, and evaluation methods, achieving positive results.

At the practical level, existing research has provided various specific application frameworks and strategies for the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation." For example, in subject teaching, establishing evaluation criteria consistent with teaching objectives and using diversified evaluation methods can enhance classroom teaching

effectiveness. However, many scholars also point out that there are still challenges in practical applications, such as teachers' evaluation literacy, the design of evaluation tools, and the tight integration of evaluation and teaching. Some teachers lack sufficient professional support in understanding and applying this concept, and their evaluation methods still mainly rely on summative assessment, making it difficult to achieve true formative and procedural feedback.

Domestic and international research shows that the concept of "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" has received widespread attention and application globally. Therefore, future research should focus on how to better implement this concept into specific teaching practices, improve teachers' evaluation literacy, and design more scientific and diversified evaluation tools. This will ensure the true integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation in teaching, thereby improving classroom teaching effectiveness and promoting the development of students' comprehensive literacy.

## **5. Suggestions**

### **5.1. Enhancing teachers' evaluation literacy and strengthen awareness of the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation"**

To effectively implement the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" in classroom teaching, it is first necessary to improve teachers' evaluation literacy. Teachers should not only master teaching content and methods but also understand the multi-dimensional functions of evaluation. They should recognize that evaluation is not only a measurement of student learning outcomes but also an effective tool to support the learning process. Therefore, teachers should actively participate in professional development training to enhance their understanding and application abilities of the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" concept. Education departments and schools should provide more training opportunities and practical support for teachers, helping them master how to design and implement diversified evaluation methods, such as formative and procedural evaluations, and adjust teaching strategies promptly based on students' different needs. This can not only enhance teachers' professional level but also promote the overall improvement of classroom teaching quality.

### **5.2. Optimizing the design of evaluation tools, focusing on process and diversification**

In the implementation process of the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation," the design of evaluation tools is crucial. Traditional standardized tests often focus on result evaluation, which makes it difficult to reflect students' growth and changes during the learning process. Therefore, schools and teachers need to develop and use diversified evaluation tools, such as observation records, student self-evaluation and peer evaluation, and project task evaluation, to more comprehensively evaluate students' learning status and core literacy. These tools can not only help teachers discover students' learning problems promptly but also promote students' independent learning and reflection, enhancing their self-adjustment abilities. At the same time, the design of evaluation tools should focus on individualization and differentiation, considering students' different learning needs and development levels to achieve more precise feedback and guidance.

### **5.3. Strengthening the systematic integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation**

Achieving the "integration of teaching, learning, and evaluation" is not only about innovating evaluation methods but

also requires systematic integration from the teaching design aspect. Teachers should closely coordinate teaching goal setting, teaching activity design, and evaluation method selection to ensure that evaluation can effectively provide feedback on each link in the teaching process. For example, when setting teaching goals, teachers should consider the formulation of evaluation criteria and constantly adjust teaching strategies through evaluation during the teaching process to ensure that students can achieve the expected learning goals. Additionally, schools should encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, promote experience exchange, and share evaluation methods among teachers of different subjects, making teaching, learning, and evaluation a truly collaborative and organic whole. Through systematic design and implementation, teaching effectiveness can be improved, and students' comprehensive development can be promoted.

## Disclosure statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, 2022, English Curriculum Standards for Compulsory Education (2022 Edition), Beijing Normal University Publishing Group, Beijing.
- [2] Wang S, 2022, Understanding and Reflections on the Reform of Education Evaluation Interpretation of the "Overall Plan for Deepening the Reform of Education Evaluation in the New Era." Hengshui University Journal, 24(04): 1–6.
- [3] Wang F, Zhang H, Zhu L, 2010, A Review of the Development of Education Evaluation in China. The Science Education Article Collects, (09): 21–23.
- [4] Wei S, 2010, New Trends and Enlightenment of American Science Education Research - Promoting the Consistency of Curriculum, Teaching, and Evaluation with "Learning Progressions." Curriculum, Teaching Material and Method, 30(10): 98–107.
- [5] Cui Y, Xia X, 2013, "Consistency of Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation": Meaning and Implication. Management of Primary and Secondary Schools, (01): 4–6.
- [6] Lu Z, 2016, Unveiling the Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation. Basic Education Curriculum, (07): 8–11.
- [7] Wang Q, Li L, 2019, Promoting the Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation in English Classrooms in the Context of Core Literacy: Significance, Theory, and Method. Curriculum, Teaching Material and Method, 39(05): 114–120.
- [8] Chen S, 2022, Constructing the "Integration of Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation" in Primary School English Focusing on Core Literacy. Teaching and Management, (12): 99–101.
- [9] Zhao J, Gu Q, 2016, Constructing a "Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation Integration" Chinese Language Classroom Based on "Learning Field." New Curriculum Research (First Edition), (08): 18–21.
- [10] Liu X, 2018, A Case Study of "Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation Integration" in Junior High School Geography Based on Core Literacy. Reference for Middle School Geography Teaching, (23): 42–44.
- [11] Liu J, 2019, Constructing a Practical Model of "Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation" Integration Based on Chemical Core Literacy. Jiangsu Education, (19): 7–11.

- [12] Xiao L, Guan Y, 2017, New Classroom: Integration of Expressive Learning and Evaluation. *Curriculum, Teaching Material and Method*, 37(03): 18–23.
- [13] Ding L, 2018, Problems and Solutions in the Implementation of “Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation Integration.” *Journal of the Chinese Society of Education*, (03): 66–68.
- [14] Wu H, Gao X, 2022, Deviation of the Concept and Practical Dilemma of “Teaching, Learning, and Evaluation” Integration and Its Transcendence. *Research on Educational Science*, (02): 54–58.
- [15] Guskey TR, 2020, *On Your Mark: Challenging the Conventions of Grading and Reporting*, Corwin, Thousand Oaks.
- [16] Heritage M, 2010, *Formative Assessment: Making it Happen in the Classroom*, Corwin Press, Thousand Oaks.
- [17] Hattie J, Timperley H, 2007, The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1): 81–112.
- [18] Shute VJ, 2008, Focus on Formative Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1): 153–189.
- [19] Van der Kleij FM, Feskens RCW, Eggen TJHM, 2015, Effects of Feedback in a Digital Learning Environment on Student Learning: A Meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85(4): 470–500.
- [20] Topping KJ, 2009, Peer Assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1): 20–27.
- [21] Sadler DR, 2010, Beyond Feedback: Developing Student Capability in Complex Appraisal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(5): 535–550.

**Publisher's note**

Whioce Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.