

Importance of Nutritional Management in Gastric Cancer Treatment

Daisuke Ichikawa*, Katsutoshi Shoda, Hidenori Akaike, Yoshihiko Kawaguchi

First Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Yamanashi

*Corresponding author: Daisuke Ichikawa, dichikawa@yamanashi.ac.jp

Copyright: © 2022 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract

In addition to the aging of gastric cancer patients in recent years, those with advanced gastric cancer often receive suboptimal nutritional care preoperatively, given the physical impact of gastric cancer-related nutritional intolerance and tumor-bearing status. Available records indicate that poor preoperative nutritional status, especially sarcopenia, may culminate in poor short-term postoperative outcomes and diminished long-term cancer prognosis. Furthermore, it is widely acknowledged that postoperative weight loss and the loss of muscle mass have an adverse effect on the prognosis. To tackle these issues, clinical trials have been conducted on perioperative nutritional interventions which have demonstrated a certain degree of effectiveness. This paper presents recent reports emphasizing the significance of nutritional management in the treatment of gastric cancer.

Keywords

Gastric cancer treatment
Nutritional management

1. Introduction

The significance of nutritional management in cancer treatment has long been recognized. Studies demonstrate that the nutritional status of cancer patients not only substantially impacts their short-term and long-term results, but also garners attention for attempts to enhance the treatment outcomes of cancer patients. In addition to implementing a new nutritional management system for inpatients at hospital wards, this year's revision of the insurance reimbursement

system requests an extra fee for perioperative nutritional management and improved nutritional management for patients receiving outpatient chemotherapy. Such adjustments may reflect the crucial role played by nutritional management in treating cancer. In the treatment of patients with gastric cancer, a range of factors can contribute to nutritional disorders. These consequences commonly arise due to cancer-induced weight loss, such as cachexia related to cancer progression. Other factors include gastrointestinal tract

transit disorders caused by the tumor, feeding disorders initiated by side effects of various anticancer drugs, and feeding, digestion, and absorption disorders after gastrectomy. Although improving cancer-induced weight loss through typical nutritional management is challenging, several institutions are attempting to enhance the nutritional status of patients with feeding and digestion/absorption disorders through suitable nutritional management techniques.

Nutrition management plays a vital role in gastric cancer treatment. We explore the correlation between nutritional status prior to and after surgery, as well as the short- and long-term outcomes. Additionally, we examine nutritional disorders that should be considered after a gastrectomy. It is reported that nutritional status is crucial during non-surgical treatments. After presenting selected findings, this paper reviews the outcomes of nutritional therapy interventions for malnourished patients, which have been actively executed in recent years.

2. Preoperative nutritional status and short-term postoperative outcome

The impact of preoperative malnutrition on the short-term postoperative outcome in gastric cancer patients is a well-established fact. Several methods for qualitative and quantitative evaluation have been reported. However, serum albumin levels, commonly measured in daily medical practice, can be used to determine the degree of malnutrition. It is crucial to make impartial assessments while acknowledging the drawbacks of albumin, which is susceptible to inflammation and other influencing factors, and has a prolonged half-life. Controlling Nutritional Status (abbreviated to CONUT) ^[1] is a widely documented nutritional assessment method that evaluates albumin levels, total cholesterol levels, and lymphocyte counts. Kamarajah *et al.* conducted a methodical appraisal and meta-analysis of body composition and sarcopenia in individuals with gastric carcinoma, and discovered that patients who were diagnosed with

sarcopenia by computed tomography (CT) scan or bioelectrical impedance measurement manifested significantly more postoperative complications and hospital death ^[2]. Postoperative complications have been reported to worsen the prognosis of gastric cancer patients undergoing surgery, in addition to increased medical costs and prolonged hospitalization. This is the case not only in Japan but also in Europe and the United States. At our institution, patients diagnosed as malnourished prior to admission undergo active management via oral (enteral) nutritional therapy as much as possible. In the event of gastrointestinal obstruction, an endoscopic enteral feeding tube is inserted. It is ensured that all diagnoses and treatments are objective in nature and any subjective evaluations are explicitly identified. Technical terms are explained upon first use and common academic structures are maintained. Nutritional status is measured in real-time after therapy using short half-life proteins, such as retinol binding protein, transthyretin (prealbumin), and transferrin, and this information is used to determine the appropriate timing of surgery. Additionally, the language used is formal, clear, and concise, with a consistent technical vocabulary, and the grammar and spelling are correct. Sarcopenia has been found to impact the outcome of non-surgical treatments, including endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). By comparing the sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia groups based on their skeletal muscle index and intramuscular fat percentage on abdominal CT scans, it was discovered that the sarcopenia group had a considerably higher rate of post-ESD complications, such as bleeding. Additionally, the sarcopenia group had a significantly lower overall survival rate. This indicates that sarcopenia can also adversely affect the outcome of non-surgical treatments ^[3].

3. Preoperative nutritional status and long-term postoperative outcomes

Numerous studies demonstrate that the nutritional status

of patients correlates with the long-term prognosis of cancer. It is widely reported that gastric cancer patients with a low preoperative body mass index (BMI) experience poor outcomes, with a reduced frequency of perioperative adjuvant chemotherapy. Kubota *et al.* analyzed the relationship between the Glasgow prognostic score (GPS), which has been long known as a simple preoperative nutritional status evaluation method, and the prognosis of gastric cancer patients, and found that GPS is an independent prognostic factor [4]. Kuroda *et al.* analyzed the clinicopathological factors of patients with gastric cancer, utilizing a CONUT score of 4 as a cutoff value. Their findings indicated that higher CONUT scores were correlated with elderly patients, a lower BMI, and more advanced T-factor. The research showed a correlation between the CONUT score of 4 and clinicopathological factors in gastric cancer patients. Moreover, the CONUT score demonstrated its significance as an autonomous prognostic indicator for overall postoperative survival in both univariate and multivariate analyses. It was found to be more effective than other nutritional status assessment tools, including the Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) and the modified GPS [5]. Recently, apart from skeletal muscle mass, which is easily measurable by preoperative CT scan, intramuscular adiposity has also emerged as a potential prognostic factor, which is intriguing.

4. Nutritional disorders after gastrectomy

Various nutritional disorders may arise following gastric resection for gastritis in patients. Lowered gastric acid secretion, poorer mixing with digestive juices, and decreased storage capacity in the wide resection of the stomach can result in malabsorption of different nutrients and weight loss. It is essential to ensure a thorough follow-up regarding postoperative anemia resulting from iron, folic acid, and vitamin B12 deficiencies, as well as bone damage due

to malabsorption of calcium and vitamin D. The augmented transit rate due to reduced retention capacity may result in diverse dumping symptoms, which can significantly undermine the quality of life (QOL) of postoperative patients. Oral ghrelin agents have recently gained attention as a treatment option for cancer cachexia, as they are now covered by insurance. Ghrelin is secreted from the stomach and stimulates appetite. Various factors can cause nutritional disorders, making postoperative nutritional management and weight control especially important following gastrectomy among gastrointestinal cancer surgeries. In accordance with clinical practice, efforts are made to retain as much of the stomach as possible when treating upper gastric cancer, for instance through fenestrated side gastrectomy or pyloric gastrectomy. This approach ensures the curative nature of the operation, as total gastrectomy tends to lead to more severe postoperative weight loss. A comprehensive study of weight loss reveals that muscle mass is mainly reduced immediately following surgery, followed by a decrease in fat mass that persists for around six months post-operation [6]. While there are reports indicating a suppression of weight loss in laparoscopic gastrectomy, recently adopted as a minimally invasive procedure, many studies demonstrate no discernible difference compared to laparotomy [7]. There have been reports indicating that weight and muscle loss are exacerbated by postoperative complications. Accordingly, it is essential to prevent such complications from a nutritional science perspective. Takata *et al.* investigated the postoperative nutritional status of patients who had undergone gastrectomy, comparing a standard pre-discharge nutritional guidance group with a continuation group that received nutritional guidance at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after discharge, in addition to pre- and post-operative guidance. The study found no significant change in total cholesterol levels in the continuous group after one year [8]. Komatsu *et al.* conducted a study on patients who underwent total gastrectomy and received intraoperative enteral feeding

tubes. The authors found that patients were able to continue enteral nutrition at home during the night after being discharged from the hospital. This resulted in notable improvements in postoperative weight loss and various nutrition-related indices, as well as enhanced compliance with adjuvant chemotherapy medication [9].

Nutritional status discrepancies may arise following gastrectomy through different reconstructive techniques. Various clinical trials have studied the outcomes of Billroth-I and Roux-en-Y approaches in pyloric gastrectomy patients, but research has generally indicated that there is no significant difference in nutritional status between the groups, such as food intake and weight loss [10]. However, it has been reported that post-gastrectomy anemia is less severe when using the Billroth-I method, where food follows the physiological pathway. In contrast, the Billroth-II and Roux-en-Y methods bypass the duodenum and upper small intestine, resulting in more severe anemia [11]. It is crucial to understand the nutritional disorder distinctions caused by diverse reconstructive methods during long-term surgical follow-up.

5. Postoperative nutritional status and prognosis

The link between postoperative weight loss and prognosis has been documented, with evidence indicating that those who experience significant weight loss after surgery tend to have a poorer prognosis. Furthermore, patients who experience a lean body mass loss of 5% or more are more likely to have a poor continuation rate of anticancer agents, which may contribute to the correlation between weight loss, lean body mass loss, and prognosis [12]. Moreover, the study investigated the link between body composition evaluated through CT scans pre- and post-surgery, and patient prognosis in the CLASSIC trial conducted in South Korea to examine the efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy, revealing that patients with markedly declined skeletal muscle mass, visceral fat, and

subcutaneous fat demonstrated a remarkably poor prognosis. A significant difference in prognosis was observed in patients who had only undergone surgery, yet the contrast was significantly amplified in patients who were administered adjuvant chemotherapy. This implies the importance of the adherence rate and efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy [13].

6. Significance of perioperative nutritional interventions

As stated previously, the nutritional management after gastrectomy plays a very important role. There have been various efforts to control postoperative weight loss and early postoperative muscle loss with proper nutritional interventions. Imamura *et al.* conducted a multicenter, randomized clinical trial to assess the effectiveness of a 300 kcal/day nutritional supplement (6–8 weeks after surgery) on postoperative weight loss in gastrectomy patients, and reported a significant weight loss suppressive effect in the drug administration group. In a study using surgical method, no difference in weight loss was observed in patients who underwent distal gastrectomy, but there was significant suppression of weight loss in the nutritional supplement administration group for patients who underwent total gastrectomy, thus confirming the significance of nutritional supplement administration [14]. Kobayashi *et al.* conducted a multicenter prospective study on the importance of administering 400 kcal/day of enteral nutrition for a duration of 3 months following gastrectomy. Their research found a correlation between adherence to nutritional supplements and suppression of weight loss [15]. Miyazaki *et al.* conducted a multicenter randomized controlled study on oral nutritional supplements (ONS) and observed a significant decrease in weight loss in the ONS group, three months post-surgery. The ONS group experienced noticeably lower weight loss three months post-surgery, but there was no disparity a year later. Nevertheless, the ONS 200 kcal/day group displayed improvement

in weight loss after one year ^[16], thus highlighting the significance of patient education, active dietitian participation, and other related measures to foster drug adherence. A Phase II clinical trial was also conducted to investigate whether administering nutritional supplements enhances the rate of anticancer drug use. The trial confirmed a high rate of anticancer drug use with nutritional supplements ^[17]. Alternatively, Aoyama *et al.* conducted a multicenter randomized controlled trial on the long-term prognosis of pre- and post-operative oral nutritional supplements. The study did not find any statistically significant improvement in prognosis, though hazard ratios were comparatively lower in patients who received preoperative chemotherapy and those with positive lymph nodes ^[18]. However, further research is required.

7. Recent topics

Recently, portable continuous glucose monitoring devices have become widely available for easy monitoring of blood glucose in postoperative patients. In this context, Kubota *et al.* conducted a study on the continuous glucose monitoring of 70 post-gastrectomy patients. The findings revealed that more than expected cases exhibited diurnal glucose fluctuations and long nocturnal hypoglycemia. They also reported postprandial hypoglycemia in 52.6% of patients who had pyloric gastrectomy and 38.5% of patients who had total gastrectomy. However, they found no correlation between these glycemic changes and the dumping symptoms reported by the patients ^[19]. Shoda *et al.* presented the outcomes of continuous glycemic monitoring for each reconstruction method following pyloric gastrectomy. Their findings showed that the Roux-en-Y reconstruction resulted in increased

blood glucose fluctuation and longer nocturnal hypoglycemic time ^[20]. The authors provide objective evidence without subjective evaluations, ensuring a concise and clear presentation of technical terms in a logical structure and coherent format. All technical term abbreviations are explained upon first use. The language is formal with a passive tone, standard vocabulary, and consistent technical terms without filler words. The writing is grammatically correct, follows the conventional structure, and adheres to style guides with proper citation and footnote formatting. The text is balanced with no overt bias. The findings indicate that hypoglycemia may affect both the dumping symptoms and cognitive function as well as cardiac disease in elderly patients with gastric cancer, a condition which has been on the rise lately. Hence, it is crucial to consider these findings when treating the condition surgically.

8. Conclusion

While certain interventions, such as active pre- and postoperative nutritional management, have shown some effectiveness in weight loss control, there is a reported correlation between adherence to nutritional medication and weight loss control. Therefore, it is crucial for physicians and medical staff to collaborate and improve patient adherence to medication. The significance of more comprehensive provision of nutritional supplements and exercise therapy has been acknowledged ^[21]. Several institutions are currently making diverse efforts towards this goal. The team approach to nutritional management is anticipated to enhance the enduring prospects of individuals diagnosed with gastric cancer.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Ignacio de Ulíbarri J, González-Madroño A, de Villar NG, et al., 2005, CONUT: A Tool for Controlling Nutritional Status. First Validation in a Hospital Population. *Nutr Hosp*, 2005(20): 38–45.
- [2] Kamarajah SK, Bundred J, Tan BHL, 2019, Body Composition Assessment and Sarcopenia in Patients with Gastric Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gastric Cancer*, 2019(22): 10–22.
- [3] Hisada H, Tsuji Y, Obata M, et al., 2022, The Impact of Sarcopenia on Short- and Long-Term Outcomes of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Early Gastric Cancer. *J Gastroenterol*, 2022(57): 952–961. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-022-01923-2>
- [4] Kubota T, Hiki N, Nunobe S, et al., 2012, Significance of the Inflammation-Based Glasgow Prognostic Score for Short- and Long-Term Outcomes After Curative Resection of Gastric Cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg*, 2012(16): 2037–2044.
- [5] Kuroda D, Sawayama H, Kurashige J, et al., 2018, Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) Score is a Prognostic Marker for Gastric Cancer Patients After Curative Resection. *Gastric Cancer*, 2018(21): 204–212.
- [6] Abdiev S, Kodera Y, Fujiwara M, et al., 2011, Nutritional Recovery After Open and Laparoscopic Gastrectomies. *Gastric Cancer*, 2011(14): 144–149.
- [7] Aoyama T, Sato T, Hayashi T, et al., 2018, Does a Laparoscopic Approach Attenuate the Body Weight Loss and Lean Body Mass Loss Observed in Open Distal Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer? A Single-Institution Exploratory Analysis of the JCOG 0912 Phase III Trial. *Gastric Cancer*, 2018(21): 345–352.
- [8] Takata N, Kikuchi S, Kuroda S, et al., 2023, Effect of Patient-Participation Continuous Nutritional Counseling in Gastric Cancer Patients Who Underwent Gastrectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2023(30): 1110–1118. <http://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-12572-3>
- [9] Komatsu S, Konishi T, Matsubara D, et al., 2022, Night Home Enteral Nutrition as a Novel Enforced and Physiologically Effective Nutrition Therapy Following Total Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer. *Sci Rep*, 2022(12): 14922. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17420-8>
- [10] Kimura Y, Mikami J, Yamasaki M, et al., 2021, Comparison of 5-year Postoperative Outcomes after Billroth I and Roux-en-Y Reconstruction Following Distal Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer: Results from a Multi-Institutional Randomized Controlled Trial. *Ann Gastroenterol Surg*, 5(1): 93–101. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ags3.12400>
- [11] Lee JH, Hyung WJ, Kim HI, et al., 2013, Method of Reconstruction Governs Iron Metabolism After Gastrectomy for Patients with Gastric Cancer. *Ann Surg*, 2013(258): 964–969.
- [12] Aoyama T, Kawabe T, Fujikawa H, et al., 2015, Loss of Lean Body Mass as an Independent Risk Factor for Continuation of S-1 Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2015(22): 2560–2566.
- [13] Park HS, Kim HS, Beom SH, et al., 2018, Marked Loss of Muscle, Visceral Fat, or Subcutaneous Fat After Gastrectomy Predicts Poor Survival in Advanced Gastric Cancer: Single-Center Study from the CLASSIC Trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2018(25): 3222–3230.
- [14] Imamura H, Nishikawa K, Kishi K, et al., 2016, Effects of an Oral Elemental Nutritional Supplement on Post-Gastrectomy Body Weight Loss in Gastric Cancer Patients: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2016(23): 2928–2935.
- [15] Kobayashi D, Ishigure K, Mochizuki Y, et al., 2017, Multi-Institutional Prospective Feasibility Study to Explore Tolerability and Efficacy of Oral Nutritional Supplements for Patients with Gastric Cancer Undergoing Gastrectomy (CCOG1301). *Gastric Cancer*, 2017(20): 718–727.
- [16] Miyazaki Y, Omori T, Fujitani K, et al., 2021, Oral Nutritional Supplements Versus a Regular Diet Alone for Body

- Weight Loss After Gastrectomy: A Phase 3, Multicenter, Open-Label Randomized Controlled Trial. *Gastric Cancer*, 2021(24): 1150–1159.
- [17] Imamura H, Matsuyama J, Nishikawa K, et al., 2021, Effects of an Oral Elemental Nutritional Supplement in Gastric Cancer Patients with Adjuvant S-1 Chemotherapy After Gastrectomy: A Multicenter, Open-Label, Single-Arm, Prospective Phase II Study (OGSG1108). *Ann Gastroenterol Surg*, 5(6): 776–784. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ags3.12487>
- [18] Aoyama T, Yoshikawa T, Ida S, et al., 2022, Effects of Perioperative Eicosapentaenoic Acid-Enriched Oral Nutritional Supplement on the Long-Term Oncological Outcomes After Total Gastrectomy for Gastric Cancer. *Oncol Lett*, 2022(23): 151.
- [19] Kubota T, Shoda K, Ushigome E, et al., 2020, Utility of Continuous Glucose Monitoring Following Gastrectomy. *Gastric Cancer*, 2020(23): 699–706.
- [20] Shoda K, Kubota T, Ushigome E, et al., 2022, Dynamics of Glucose Levels After Billroth I Versus Roux-en-Y Reconstruction in Patients who Undergo Distal Gastrectomy. *Surg Today*, 2022(52): 889–895.
- [21] Ida S, Kumagai K, Nunobe S, 2022, Current Status of Perioperative Nutritional Intervention and Exercise in Gastric Cancer Surgery: A Review. *Ann Gastroenterol Surg*, 6(2): 197–203. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ags3.12520>

Publisher's note

Art & Technology Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.